



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

BC
Docket No: 11534-14
30 October 2014

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code, section 1552. This application was filed in a timely manner.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 29 October 2014. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.


You enlisted in the Navy and began a period of active duty on 16 January 2013. You were recommended for an entry level separation due to a medical condition not a disability. Subsequently, you were notified of pending administrative separation by reason of condition not a disability. The discharge authority approved this recommendation and directed separation with an honorable characterization of service, and on 20 March 2014, you were so discharged and assigned the most favorable RE-3G reentry code.

The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factor, such as your desire to serve in the military. Nevertheless, the Board

concluded this factor was not sufficient to warrant relief in your case. Accordingly, your application has been denied.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence within one year from the date of the Board's decision. New evidence is evidence not previously considered by the Board prior to making its decision in your case. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,



ROBERT J. O'NEILL
Executive Director